



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/440,693	11/16/1999 AVERY FONG		5244-0108-2	3302
22850 7	590 01/15/2004	EXAMINER		
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			ANYA, CHARLES E	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2126	[]
			DATE MAILED: 01/15/2004	. , ,

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



					0		
	,	Appl	licati n N .	Applicant(s)			
		09/4	40,693	FONG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary			niner	Art Unit	T		
		Char	les E Anya	2126			
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the c ver she t with the corresp ndenc address Peri d for Reply							
THE - External after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm s period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st tre to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months a ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In nunication. so) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply will, by statute, cause the	n no event, however, may a he statutory minimum of th and will expire SIX (6) MC he application to become A	a reply be timely filed nirty (30) days will be considered time DNTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>23 October</u>	· <u>2003</u> .				
2a)[This action is FINAL .	s action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the a	application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)□	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.				
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)□	The drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted	or b)□ objected to	by the Examiner.			
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
* 5 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign land acknowledgment is made of a claim for the translation of the foreign land acknowledgment is made of a claim for the foreign land acknowledgment land ackno	documents have documents have of the priority documents all Bureau (PCT n for a list of the or domestic priorid in the first sentence or domestic priorical domestic	e been received. e been received in a cuments have been Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies no ity under 35 U.S.C ence of the specifical application has lity under 35 U.S.C	Application No n received in this National of received. S. § 119(e) (to a provisional cation or in an Application been received. S. §§ 120 and/or 121 since	al application) n Data Sheet.		
Attachment(s)							
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa			Summary (PTO-413) Paper No Informal Patent Application (PT			

Application/Control Number: 09/440,693

Art Unit: 2126

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1 – 7, 11 – 17, 21 – 27 and 31 – 37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Pat. No. 5,949,415 to Lin et al.

As to claim 1, Lin teaches an Interface (Graphical User Interface 3 Col. 3 Ln. 1 – 67), an Application Unit (Client Computer 1 Col. 3 Ln. 1 – 67), a plurality of operations (Task 6/7 Col. 3 Ln. 1 – 67), a Target Application (Operating System 2 Col. 3 Ln. 43 – 57), a Monitoring Unit (Program Monitor 4 Col. 3 Ln. 11 – 67), a Log (Local Record File 5 Col. 3 Ln. 11 – 24), a Dynamic Linked Library ("...DLL..." Col. 3 Ln. 58 – 67, "...TOOLHELP.DLL..." Col. 4 Ln. 36 – 48) and a Communication Unit (Communication Link 9 Col. 3 Ln. 11 – 42).

As to claim 2, Lin teaches a Display Screen (Graphical User Interface 3 Col. 3 Ln. 1 – 67).

As to claim 3, claim 1 covers claim 3 except for an image forming device.

Lin teaches an Image Forming Device ("...television..." Col. 3 Ln. 25 – 28).

As to claim 4, see the rejection of claim 3.

Application/Control Number: 09/440,693

Art Unit: 2126

As to claim 5, Lin teaches sending the log of the monitored when the user exits the target application ("...Endtime..." Col. 6 Ln. 11 - 32).

As to claim 6, Lin inherently teaches sending the log of monitored data every two or more sessions because the monitored data could be sent to the server on a "period basis" (Col. 6 Ln. 33 - 45).

As to claim 7, see the rejection of claim 6.

As to claims 11 - 17, see the rejection of claims 1 - 7 respectively.

As to claims 21 - 27, see the rejection of claims 1 - 7 respectively.

As to claims 31 - 37, see the rejection of claims 1 - 7 respectively.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 8,9,18,19, 28,29,38,39 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,949,415 to Lin et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,615,258 B1 to Barry et al.

As to claim 8, Lin is silent with reference to the storing data in a form of a map with a key and data value as string data.

Barry teaches storing data in a form of a map with a key and data value as string data ("...key...vector..." Col. 41 Ln. 65 – 67, Col. 42 Ln. 1 – 13). It would have been obvious

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/440,693

Art Unit: 2126

to apply the teaching of Barry to the system of Lin. One would have been motivated to make such a modification to provide navigational behavior of the question tree (Col. 42 Ln. 10 - 13).

As to claims 9,18,19,28,29,38 and 39 see the rejection of claim 8.

As to claims 41 – 48, see the rejection of claims 1 and 8.

Claims 10,20,30 and 40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Pat. No. 5,949,415 to Lin et al. in view of U.S. Pat. No. 6,163,803 to Ken Lin et al. (hereinafter referred to as Ken Lin).

As to claim 10, Lin is silent with reference to the communication unit communicating the monitored data as Internet mail.

Lin Ken teaches the communication unit as communicating the monitored data as Internet mail ("...e-mail..." Col. 3 Ln. 12 – 22). It would have been obvious to apply the teaching of Lin Ken to the system of Lin. One would have been motivated to make such a modification to exploit the universality of e-mail.

As to claims 20, 30 and 40, see the rejection of claim 10.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles E Anya whose telephone number is (703) 305-3411. The examiner can normally be reached on M – F (Off First Friday) from 8:30 am

Application/Control Number: 09/440,693

Art Unit: 2126

Page 5

to 5:30 pm. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Charles E Anya Examiner Art Unit 2126

MENG-AL TAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
2100